INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF GENERAL STUDIES EDUCATION (IJOGSE)
VOLUME 7, ISSUE 1, 2026
ISSN: 1462-2032

Developing an AI-Driven Framework for Enhancing Language Security in Nigeria: Opportunities, Challenges and Implementation Strategies

Emmanuel Usman ONOJA
Department of Language and Communication Education
School of General Studies Education
Federal College of Education (Special), Oyo, Oyo State, Nigeria
Email: onoja.emmanuel2149@fcesoyo.edu.ng

Abstract

The rapid development of artificial intelligence (AI) presents important opportunities for strengthening language security in multilingual societies such as Nigeria. This conceptual paper examines how AI can support the preservation, accessibility, digital representation and sustainable use of indigenous Nigerian languages, while also identifying the technological, infrastructural, ethical, policy and institutional challenges that may constrain implementation. Drawing on the literature presented in the paper, the discussion focuses on natural language processing, speech recognition, machine translation, digital language archives and AI-assisted learning systems as mechanisms for improving linguistic inclusion and reducing digital marginalisation. The paper argues that language security should be treated not only as a cultural concern but also as an educational, communication and national-development issue. It proposes an AI-driven framework built around five interrelated pillars: policy and ethical governance; language documentation and digital resources; technological infrastructure; education and human-capacity development; and stakeholder and community participation. The paper concludes that sustainable AI-based language security in Nigeria requires coordinated institutional action, equitable digital access, culturally responsive technology design and meaningful participation by indigenous language communities.

Keywords: Artificial intelligence, language security, indigenous languages, multilingualism, digital preservation

Introduction

Artificial intelligence (AI) is increasingly influencing the ways in which languages are processed, taught, documented and accessed in digital environments. This development has particular significance for multilingual societies where unequal technological representation can reinforce existing communication inequalities. Nigeria is linguistically diverse, and the continued dominance of globally powerful languages in formal education, public communication and

IJOGSE 2026

digital environments creates an important context for examining the security and sustainability of indigenous languages. Language security in this paper refers to the protection, preservation, accessibility and sustainable use of languages against extinction, marginalisation and communicative exclusion.

The digital environment has introduced both opportunities and risks for Nigerian indigenous languages. On the one hand, natural language processing, speech recognition, machine translation and digital archiving can make languages more visible and usable in contemporary communication systems. On the other hand, languages with limited digital resources may remain absent from AI systems because they lack sufficiently large and organised corpora, standardised orthographies and other linguistic datasets. The resulting digital divide may contribute to cultural marginalisation and restrict participation in knowledge production.

The relationship between language, education and national development makes this issue especially relevant to General Studies Education. Language is central to communication, learning, cultural continuity and participation in public life. When citizens cannot access important information in languages familiar to them, communication barriers may affect education, public enlightenment, healthcare communication, emergency information and civic participation. AI therefore has potential value beyond language preservation: it may contribute to more inclusive educational and communication systems.

Existing discussions cited in the conference paper indicate that AI-supported linguistic systems can improve communication accessibility, cultural preservation and digital participation among underrepresented language groups (Afolabi & Yusuf, 2022; Eke & Okolie, 2024). However, technological adoption cannot be separated from questions of infrastructure, policy, ethics, institutional capacity and community ownership. This paper consequently examines the opportunities and challenges associated with AI-driven language security in Nigeria and develops an integrated framework for implementation.

The purpose of this conceptual paper is to examine the prospects of using artificial intelligence to enhance language security in Nigeria and to propose an integrated framework for implementation. Specifically, the paper considers the relationship between AI and indigenous language preservation; examines opportunities for educational, communicative and developmental inclusion; identifies technological, infrastructural, ethical, policy and institutional

IJOGSE 2026

barriers; and proposes strategies for coordinated implementation involving government, educational institutions, technology developers and indigenous language communities.

Conceptualising Artificial Intelligence, Language Security and Multilingualism

Artificial Intelligence

Artificial intelligence refers broadly to computational systems designed to perform tasks associated with aspects of human intelligence, including learning, reasoning, problem-solving and language processing. In communication and education, AI is particularly relevant through technologies such as machine learning, natural language processing, automated translation and speech recognition. Russell and Norvig (2022) explain that AI has become influential in human communication and information management. For language development, the significance of AI lies in its capacity to process linguistic data at scale and support applications that can extend access to languages that have historically received limited technological attention.

Language Security

Language security concerns the conditions necessary for a language to remain protected, accessible, usable and capable of being transmitted across generations. It encompasses more than the mere survival of a language; it includes its presence in education, public communication, cultural production and digital spaces. In Nigeria, language security is closely related to cultural identity, social interaction and national integration. The paper's concern with language security is therefore grounded in the need to ensure that indigenous languages are not excluded from the technological systems increasingly shaping contemporary communication.

Nigeria's Multilingual Context

Nigeria's multilingual environment creates a complex setting for language technology. The paper identifies the coexistence of numerous indigenous languages alongside English and other widely used languages. Urbanisation, migration, language shift and the dominance of English in official communication can reduce the functional domains available to indigenous languages. Ogunyemi and Hassan (2023) identify language endangerment and linguistic sustainability as continuing concerns, while UNESCO (2024) highlights the wider vulnerability of many African indigenous languages.

The digital dimension of multilingualism is increasingly important. A language that is absent from digital platforms, speech technologies, translation systems and educational applications may become less useful to younger users in technology-mediated contexts. Oladipo and Eze

IJOGSE 2026

(2023) associate inadequate digital representation with cultural marginalisation, while Bamidele and Chukwu (2022) emphasise the importance of language accessibility for information dissemination. These concerns establish a strong rationale for considering AI as part of a broader language-security strategy.

Artificial Intelligence and Indigenous Language Preservation

AI can contribute to indigenous language preservation by supporting the collection, organisation, analysis and reproduction of linguistic information. Digital repositories can preserve vocabulary, oral traditions, pronunciation patterns, grammatical structures and culturally significant expressions. Akinola and Ibrahim (2024) identify digital repositories and interactive learning systems as useful mechanisms for sustaining endangered languages, while Okafor and Suleiman (2025) emphasise the potential of AI systems for preserving oral traditions.

Speech recognition can support the conversion of spoken language into digital text, creating additional resources for documentation and learning. Machine translation can make information more accessible across language communities, while natural language processing can support language-learning tools, search systems and digital assistants. Chinedu and Alabi (2023) associate speech recognition technologies with increased visibility and usability of indigenous languages in educational, governmental and media settings.

Preservation, however, should not be understood as a purely technical activity. Language data contain cultural knowledge and community-specific meanings. Consequently, technological systems need to reflect the linguistic and cultural preferences of the communities whose languages are being digitised. Community participation is essential for validating data, identifying appropriate linguistic forms and determining how culturally sensitive materials should be collected, stored and used.

Educational and National-Development Opportunities

The educational implications of AI-driven language security are substantial. Multilingual digital platforms, AI-assisted language-learning applications and virtual assistants may improve literacy development and provide learners with additional opportunities to interact with indigenous languages. Mohammed and Ekanem (2024) argue that AI-assisted educational technologies can strengthen cultural awareness and digital inclusion. Such applications can be particularly valuable when learners encounter educational content through languages with which they are familiar.

IJOGSE 2026

AI-driven language technologies can also support civic and public communication. Adekunle and Nwosu (2023) link communication accessibility with civic participation, suggesting that citizens are better positioned to engage with public information when communication is available in familiar languages. In a multilingual country, this has implications for public enlightenment, healthcare communication, emergency messaging and other forms of information dissemination.

The economic and technological implications are equally relevant. Indigenous-language technologies can create opportunities for local content production, software innovation and digital entrepreneurship. Bello and Adebisi (2025) associate linguistic inclusion through AI with broader socio-economic and technological participation. Developing local capacity in language technology may therefore contribute simultaneously to cultural sustainability and participation in the digital economy.

Challenges to AI-Driven Language Security in Nigeria

Limited Linguistic Data and Low-Resource Languages

One of the central challenges is the limited availability of high-quality digital linguistic resources. Many indigenous languages lack comprehensive electronic corpora, standardised orthographies, organised datasets and sufficiently documented speech resources. AI systems depend on data for training and evaluation; consequently, languages with limited data are likely to receive weaker technological support. Adetunji and Musa (2023) identify low-resource conditions as a significant constraint on AI development in African languages.

Infrastructure and Digital Inequality

AI-based language services require dependable electricity, connectivity, devices and digital platforms. Unequal access to these resources may limit the benefits of language technologies, particularly in rural and underserved communities. Ezeani and Ibrahim (2024) connect infrastructural inadequacies with digital inequality in rural Nigerian communities. Investment in language technology without corresponding investment in the infrastructure needed to access it could therefore reproduce rather than reduce exclusion.

Ethical and Cultural Concerns

AI-driven language projects also raise ethical questions about privacy, ownership, representation, consent and algorithmic bias. Language datasets may contain personal narratives, oral histories and culturally sensitive information. The collection and reuse of such data should therefore respect appropriate ethical standards and community interests. Hassan and Olutayo (2025) note

IJOGSE 2026

risks associated with inaccurate translation, cultural misrepresentation and discriminatory outcomes. Ethical governance is consequently a core component of language security rather than an optional addition.

Policy and Institutional Gaps

Sustainable implementation requires supportive policy and institutional structures. Adebayo and Yusuf (2024) identify limitations in national policy attention to indigenous language preservation through AI. Universities, government agencies and research institutes may also face funding constraints and limited coordination. Salihu and Adeola (2025) emphasise collaboration among academic institutions, technology companies and government organisations, while Okeke and Danjuma (2022) point to shortages in AI, computational linguistics and digital documentation expertise.

Proposed AI-Driven Framework for Language Security in Nigeria

The framework proposed in this paper is organised around five mutually reinforcing pillars: (1) policy and ethical governance; (2) language documentation and digital resources; (3) technological infrastructure; (4) education and human-capacity development; and (5) stakeholder and community participation. The framework is intended as a conceptual guide for coordinated action rather than as an empirical model tested with field data.

Pillar One: Policy and Ethical Governance

Government and relevant institutions should establish policies that recognise indigenous-language technology as part of digital inclusion, cultural sustainability and educational development. Policy should address data protection, consent, cultural ownership, equitable representation, transparency and accountability. Ethical governance should also establish mechanisms for monitoring bias in speech recognition, translation and language-processing systems.

Pillar Two: Language Documentation and Digital Resources

A national or coordinated programme of language documentation should create high-quality digital resources containing vocabulary, speech recordings, pronunciation information, grammatical descriptions, oral histories and other appropriate linguistic materials. These resources should be curated, validated and made available under governance arrangements that respect community rights. Balogun and Ibrahim (2023) identify indigenous-language digitisation as fundamental to effective AI integration.

IJOGSE 2026

Pillar Three: Technological Infrastructure

Infrastructure should include connectivity, electricity, affordable devices, data storage and computing resources. Infrastructure planning should explicitly consider rural and underserved communities. Adewale and Musa (2024) emphasise reliable internet access, electricity and affordable digital devices as necessary conditions for AI implementation in multilingual communities.

Pillar Four: Education and Human-Capacity Development

Educational institutions should integrate relevant AI and language-technology competencies into teacher education, language education and General Studies Education. Training should cover AI literacy, computational linguistics, digital language documentation, data ethics and indigenous-language technology development. Such programmes can help reduce dependence on external expertise and create a local workforce capable of developing and maintaining culturally responsive systems.

Pillar Five: Stakeholder and Community Participation

Government agencies, universities, technology firms, non-governmental organisations, cultural institutions and indigenous language communities should participate in planning and implementation. Community members should contribute to data collection, validation, translation, cultural interpretation and evaluation. Ibrahim and Okafor (2025) emphasise the value of multidisciplinary partnerships in sustainable AI language development. Community participation also increases the cultural relevance and acceptability of resulting technologies.

Implementation Strategies

Implementation should proceed through coordinated and incremental stages. The first stage should involve identifying priority languages and assessing existing linguistic resources. The second should focus on documentation, digitisation and the development of ethically governed datasets. The third should involve the development and testing of practical applications such as speech-recognition tools, translation systems and educational platforms. The fourth should involve institutional adoption, training and public awareness. Continuous evaluation should then assess technological performance, accessibility, cultural appropriateness and community satisfaction.

Partnerships are essential throughout these stages. Universities can contribute research and training; government agencies can provide policy direction and funding; technology firms can

IJOGSE 2026

provide technical development; cultural organisations can contribute expertise in heritage and language; and communities can provide linguistic knowledge and validation. This distributed model reduces the risk of treating language technology as solely a technical project and instead positions it as a multidisciplinary educational and national-development initiative.

Public awareness should accompany implementation. Citizens need to understand the value of indigenous-language preservation and the ways AI can support rather than replace community language practices. Awareness activities can also encourage participation in documentation projects and responsible use of emerging language technologies.

Discussion

The analysis indicates that AI has considerable potential to strengthen language security in Nigeria, but technological availability alone will not guarantee linguistic inclusion. The most important implication is that language security should be approached as an ecosystem involving data, infrastructure, institutions, education, ethics and communities. A technically sophisticated translation or speech system will have limited impact if the target language lacks adequate data, users lack connectivity, institutions lack implementation capacity or communities have little influence over how their linguistic resources are used.

The framework also highlights the relationship between language technology and education. General Studies Education is concerned with broad competencies and knowledge relevant to learners' participation in society. Indigenous-language technologies can support this mandate by improving access to information, strengthening cultural awareness and expanding digital participation. Educational institutions therefore have a dual role: they can use language technologies in teaching and also contribute to the development of the human capacity required to sustain them.

A further implication concerns equity. If AI development concentrates on languages that already possess large digital datasets, technological progress may unintentionally deepen linguistic inequality. A language-security approach requires deliberate investment in low-resource languages and transparent criteria for prioritising resources. Such an approach aligns technological development with the broader goals of inclusion and national cohesion.

Implications for General Studies Education

The subject matter has direct implications for the teaching and learning of General Studies Education in Nigerian tertiary institutions. First, language and communication education can

IJOGSE 2026

incorporate practical knowledge of AI-supported translation, speech technologies and digital language preservation. Second, teacher educators can prepare students to evaluate AI outputs critically, particularly where translation or cultural representation may be inaccurate. Third, institutions can support research projects that document indigenous languages and develop educational resources. Finally, General Studies Education can provide an interdisciplinary space for connecting language, technology, culture, ethics and national development.

Conclusion

Artificial intelligence presents a significant opportunity to strengthen language security in Nigeria by improving the documentation, preservation, accessibility and digital functionality of indigenous languages. Natural language processing, speech recognition, machine translation, digital archives and AI-assisted learning systems can increase the presence of indigenous languages in contemporary communication and educational environments. However, these opportunities are constrained by limited linguistic datasets, inadequate infrastructure, ethical concerns, policy gaps and shortages of specialised human capacity.

The proposed framework responds to these challenges by integrating policy and ethical governance, language documentation, technological infrastructure, education and human-capacity development, and stakeholder and community participation. The central argument is that sustainable language security cannot be achieved through technology alone. It requires coordinated institutional commitment and meaningful participation by the communities whose languages are being preserved and developed. If these conditions are addressed, AI can contribute to linguistic inclusion, cultural continuity, educational development and national cohesion in Nigeria.

Recommendations

1. The Nigerian government and relevant agencies should develop and implement policies that explicitly support indigenous-language technologies, digital preservation and equitable linguistic representation in AI systems.
2. Universities, colleges of education and research institutions should establish or strengthen programmes in computational linguistics, AI literacy, digital language documentation and language technology.

IJOGSE 2026

3. A coordinated programme should be developed to document and digitise Nigerian indigenous languages, with appropriate standards for data quality, consent, cultural ownership and long-term preservation.
4. Investment in electricity, internet connectivity, affordable devices and digital infrastructure should prioritise underserved communities where digital exclusion is greatest.
5. Technology developers should work with linguists, educators, cultural organisations and indigenous language communities to improve the accuracy, cultural sensitivity and usability of AI systems.
6. Community participation should be built into language-data collection, validation, translation and evaluation so that AI systems reflect local linguistic practices and cultural contexts.
7. Educational institutions should integrate responsible AI and indigenous-language technology into relevant curricula to develop learners' digital, linguistic and critical-evaluation competencies.
8. Mechanisms should be established for continuous evaluation of AI language systems, particularly with respect to bias, privacy, accuracy, accessibility and equitable representation.

IJOGSE 2026

References

Adebayo, T., & Hassan, R. (2025). Artificial intelligence governance and indigenous language sustainability in multilingual African societies. *African Journal of Digital Communication, 11*(2), 44–59.

Adebayo, T., & Yusuf, M. (2024). National AI policies and indigenous language preservation in Nigeria. *Journal of African Digital Policy Studies, 6(*1), 72–88.

Adegboye, S., & Hassan, M. (2025). AI-driven frameworks for indigenous language preservation in Nigeria. *Journal of African Language Technology, 8*(1), 23–39.

Adekunle, F., & Nwosu, E. (2023). Artificial intelligence and communication accessibility in multilingual societies. *International Journal of Communication Studies, 15*(3), 101–117.

Adetunji, K., & Musa, A. (2023). Low-resource languages and artificial intelligence development in Africa. *Journal of Computational Linguistics and Society, 6*(2), 67–82.

Adewale, J., & Musa, R. (2024). Technological infrastructure and AI implementation in multilingual African communities. *African Journal of Information and Communication Technology, 10*(2), 90–106.

Afolabi, D., & Yusuf, M. (2022). Digital participation and indigenous language preservation in developing societies. *African Journal of Information Systems, 14*(1), 88–104.

Akinola, J., & Ibrahim, H. (2024). Artificial intelligence technologies and indigenous language revitalisation in Nigeria. *Language and Technology Review, 9*(2), 55–70.

Balogun, O., & Ibrahim, K. (2023). Digitising indigenous languages for AI integration in multilingual nations. *International Journal of Language and Digital Culture, 5*(4), 92–108.
Bamidele, S., & Chukwu, P. (2022). Language barriers and information dissemination in multilingual African states. *Journal of African Media Studies, 13*(2), 140–156.
Bello, R., & Adebisi, T. (2025). Linguistic inclusion and socio-economic development through artificial intelligence. *African Journal of Innovation and Development, 10*(1), 74–90.
Chinedu, O., & Alabi, Y. (2023). Speech recognition technologies and indigenous language communication systems in Nigeria. *Journal of Digital Linguistics, 7*(3), 118–133.
Chukwuemeka, L., & Lawal, A. (2024). Ethical governance and AI-supported language technologies in Africa. *Technology Ethics and Society, 4*(2), 49–65.
Eke, P., & Okolie, C. (2024). Artificial intelligence applications in language management and communication security. *Journal of Emerging Technologies in Africa, 12*(1), 30–46.
Ezeani, U., & Ibrahim, S. (2024). Digital inequality and AI adoption in rural Nigerian communities. *African Journal of Technology and Society,* 9(3), 112–127.
Hassan, M., & Olutayo, D. (2025). Ethical concerns in artificial intelligence and multilingual communication systems. *International Journal of AI Ethics,* 6(1), 80–96.
Ibrahim, A., & Adeniran, L. (2025). Indigenous language preservation and inclusive AI systems in Nigeria. *Journal of African Cultural and Communication Studies, 8*(2), 61–77.
Ibrahim, Y., & Okafor, N. (2025). Stakeholder collaboration and sustainable AI language development in Africa. *African Journal of Digital Innovation, 7*(1), 97–113.
Ifeanyi, C., & Garba, M. (2022). Artificial intelligence, communication security, and national cohesion in Nigeria. *Journal of Contemporary African Studies, 18*(4), 150–166.
Mohammed, I., & Ekanem, B. (2024). AI-assisted educational technologies and indigenous language learning in Nigeria. *International Journal of Educational Technology, 16*(2), 73–89.
Nwachukwu, E., & Salami, T. (2024). AI-driven language systems and endangered language protection in Africa. *Language Preservation Quarterly, 5*(1), 36–52.
Ogunyemi, F., & Afolayan, K. (2024). Technological education and human capacity development for AI innovation in Nigeria. *Nigerian Journal of Educational Advancement, 14*(2), 90–105.
Ogunyemi, O., & Hassan, R. (2023). Language endangerment and linguistic sustainability in contemporary *Nigeria. African Linguistic Review, 12*(1), 58–72.
Ojo, A., & Lawal, M. (2022). Challenges of indigenous language digitisation in Nigeria. *Journal of Language Policy and Technology, 3*(2), 45–60.
Oladipo, K., & Eze, C. (2023). Digital marginalisation and indigenous language representation in Nigeria. *International Journal of African Communication,* 9(4), 134–149.
Olawale, J., & Ekanem, T. (2023). Educational institutions and language technology development in Africa. *Journal of Contemporary Educational Research, 15*(1), 66–81.
Okafor, P., & Suleiman, A. (2025). Digital preservation of oral traditions through artificial intelligence systems. *African Heritage and Technology Review, 6(3),* 101–117.
Okeke, V., & Danjuma, H. (2022). Human resource development and AI innovation in Nigeria. *Nigerian Journal of Science and Technology, 10*(2), 84–99.
Russell, S., & Norvig, P. (2022). *Artificial intelligence*: A modern approach (4th ed.). Pearson.
Salihu, M., & Adeola, B. (2025). Institutional collaboration and sustainable digital language policies in Nigeria. *Journal of African Policy and Development, 7*(2), 55–71.

IJOGSE2026

UNESCO. (2023). *Global report on indigenous languages and digital preservation.* UNESCO Publishing.

UNESCO. (2024). *World atlas of endangered languages*. UNESCO Publishing.

Yusuf, A., & Adegbite, R. (2025). Technological innovation and language security in multilingual societies. *African Journal of Communication and Culture, 13*(1), 41–57.

Yusuf, M., & Bello, T. (2023). National policy frameworks and indigenous language sustainability in Nigeria. *Journal of Public Policy and Cultural Studies, 8*(2), 88–103.

IJOGSE 2026